

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46

Monday, July 20, 2009
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
1155 Market Street (between 7th & 8th Streets), 4th Floor Conference Room

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15

16

17
18
19

20
21
22

23

24

25
26

27
28
29
30

31
32

33
34
35

36
37
38
39

40
41
42
43
44
45
46

it more difficult for Irvington rock to be used. As revised Sunol Valley WTP Expansion starts construction before Irvington; previously the Sunol Expansion job followed Irvington by some months.

Please make sure that revisions do not reduce competition on Sunol Valley work. Competition keeps cost to the rate payers down.

3. Report from SFPUC staff concerning an update on the proposed WSIP Revisions to be considered by the SFPUC Commission on July 28, 2009

Julie Labonte, WSIP Director, SFPUC, and Jeet Bajwa, Project Manager, SFPUC, presented an update on WSIP programs, projects and the possible revisions to the to the WSIP programs.

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission will review the proposed revisions to the WSIP on June 26, 2009.

Public Comment: Steve Lawrence, commented on the possible discrepancies in schedules concerning the beginning of construction and notice to proceed dates. Julie Labonte, WSIP Director, SFPUC, clarified the start dates of the notice to proceed and beginning of construction dates.

4. Discussion and possible action concerning the status of the Request for Proposal (RFP) for Audit Services, Water System Improvement Program (Agreement No. CS 983)

Mr. Sweetland summarized the status of the RFP for Audit Services, Water System Improvement Program (Agreement No. CS 983).

The contractors' proposals were reviewed and Robert Kuo Consulting LLC was selected. Mr. Sweetland suggested that the notice of approval and the notice to proceed occur concurrently. The usually 2 week separation between the notice of approval and notice to proceed will most likely hinder the ability of the contractor to complete their work product by the August 15, 2009 deadline.

Mr. Browne requested that his written statement be attached to the minutes. (See attached document)

Public Comment: None.

No Action Taken.

94 **5. Discussion and possible action concerning the Indirect Cost Study**

95
96 No Action Taken (Lack of Quorum)

97
98 **6. Discussion and possible action concerning the extension of the**
99 **sunset date of the RBOC**

100
101 No Action Taken (Lack of Quorum).

102
103 **7. Discussion and possible action concerning scheduling an inspection**
104 **tour of Hetch Hetchy facilities by the RBOC on September 30, 2009**

105
106 Mr. Sweetland moved, seconded by Mr. Jones, to schedule an inspection
107 tour of Hetch Hetchy on September 30, 2009.

108
109 Ayes: Rhorer, Browne, Jones, and Sweetland

110 Noes: None.

111 Excused: Chair Brown and Sutter.

112
113 **8. Discussion and possible action regarding the approval of the**
114 **minutes from the RBOC meeting held on June 15, 2009**

115
116 No Action Taken. (Lack of Quorum)

117
118 **9. Discussion and possible action relating to RBOC member**
119 **information requests raised in today's meeting**

120
121 No Action Taken. (Lack of Quorum)

122
123 **10. Discussion and possible action for future agenda items**

124
125 No Action Taken. (Lack of Quorum)

126
127 **11. Adjournment**

128
129 At the hour of 10:32 a.m. the meeting adjourned due to lack of quorum.